

BMS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DR. LAWRENCE ROBINSON,  
Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, et al.,  
Defendants.

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

CIVIL ACTION

No. 10-1277

FILED

ANG 17 2011

MICHAEL J. RUNZ, Clerk  
By: [Signature] Dep. Clerk

ORDER


AND NOW, this 17<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2011, upon consideration of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, Plaintiff's Opposition thereto, and for the reasons stated in the Court's Memorandum dated August 17, 2011, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. Defendants' motion (Document No. 33) is **GRANTED in part and DENIED in part**, as follows:

- a. The motion is **DENIED** as to Count III of the Amended Complaint.
- b. The motion is **GRANTED** as to Count IV of the Amended Complaint, which is **DISMISSED**.
- c. The motion is **GRANTED** with respect to the age discrimination claims in Count V of the Amended Complaint, which are **DISMISSED**.
- d. The motion is **DENIED** with respect to the race discrimination claims in Count V of the Amended Complaint.
- e. The motion is **GRANTED** as to Count VI of the Amended Complaint, which is **DISMISSED**.

2. John Doe 1 and Jane Doe 1 are **DISMISSED** as defendants.

**BY THE COURT:**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Berle M. Schiller", written over a horizontal line.

**Berle M. Schiller, J.**

ENTERED  
CLERK OF COURT